



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,017	02/05/2002	Joseph Vander Aa	215296	7223	
23460	7590 02/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			FUNK, STEPHEN R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		2854		
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/068,017	VANDER AA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen R Funk	2854				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing db) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP I36(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten- 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		textren temp				
		STEPHEN R. FUNK PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Both references to Vermeersch et al. teach that the image layer may be developed with dampening liquid "and/or ink" which is part of the single fluid ink of Teng. Furthermore, Teng teaches that an image layer typically developed with dampening liquid and/or ink can be developed with the single fluid ink. Applicant's reference to Vermeersch et al. teaching applying water is misleading as Vermeersch et al. specifically state that this will not develop the image layer. Additionally, Teng teaches the exact same step in column 9 lines 60-64. Applicant's argument with respect to Kingman et al. is not convincing since both Vermeersch et al. and Teng teaches that ink may develop the image layer.